



| PGME COMMITTEE MEETING |   |                                 |                            |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Minutes                | Date:<br>January 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2014   | Time:<br>07:00am – 08:30am      | Location:<br>H101, Western |
| Meeting called by      | Dr. Chris Watling, Postgraduate Me  | edical Education Associate Dean |                            |
| Attendees              | G. Cooper, B. Garcia, S. Rumas, C. Akincioglu, L.C. Ang, K. Faber, D. Fortin, V. Hocke, S.L. Kane, J. Howard, T. Sexton, M. Ott, A. Power, ; PARO Rep: J. Lukovic, C. Cookson; Hospital Reps: M. Macpherson Guests: |                                 |                            |
| Note taker             | Courtney Newnham, courtney.newnham@schulich.uwo.ca  |                                 |                            |

# Agenda Topics

| 1. CALL TO ORI | DER AND GREETINGS Dr. C. Watling   |
|----------------|--|
| Discussion     | The meeting was called to order at 07:00am. The Agenda was approved as circulated. The minutes of the previous PGME meeting had been circulated previously and were in order.  |
| 2. REPORTS     | DR. C. WATLINGA<br>S. RUMASA<br>M. MACPHERSON  |
|                | 2.1 Associate Dean's Report – Dr. Watling  |
|                | Happy New Year!  |
| Discussion     | 2014 Priorities:  . CBME – opportunities to innovate . Planning our internal review process . Residency allocation planning . Shared curriculum enhancements . Academic half days . T2R . Residents as teachers – workshops not serving the needs of all residents . Transition to practice – workshops that have discipline specific aspects and areas that cross specialty lines. Aim is to create a series of events e.g. every 2 months. |
|                | PARO Award Deadlines:  Resident Teaching Award – <b>January 20<sup>th</sup></b> , <b>2014</b> Clinical Teaching Award – <b>January 27<sup>th</sup></b> , <b>2014</b> Further information can be found at: http://www.myparo.ca/PARO_Awards   |
| DISCUSSION     | 2.2 PGME Manager's Remarks  . Continuing Lists – Due <b>Friday January 10<sup>th</sup></b> , <b>2014</b> . CaRMS update – all Western residents matched in the Medicine subspecialty match  . R1 – ROL deadline to PGME – <b>February 12<sup>th</sup></b> , <b>2014</b> . Transfer requests – Please submit internal and external transfer requests to the PGME office   |





|                 | by January 31 <sup>st</sup> , 2014. PGME will notify transfer decisions.  FITERS – deadline to PGME February 23 must sign before returning to the PGME to sit the Royal College exam and has me Program Director is uncertain about whet the FITER and withdraw if the resident is   | B <sup>rd</sup> , <b>2014</b> . All Program Directors office. Completed FITER indicate at all competencies required for the a resident fits these requires | and residents<br>s resident is eligible<br>the specialty. If a |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| DISCUSSION      | 2.3 PARO Residents Report PARO representatives thank the PGME office for their support with the CRA legal case. No new news to report.   |  |  |
| DISCUSSION      | 2.4 Medical Affairs Report  HUGO – Will go live on the following dates:  . University Hospital – April 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2014  . Victoria Hospital – April 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2014  . St. Joseph's Hospital – May 21 <sup>st</sup> , 2014 *This date changed from March 16 <sup>th</sup> .  Workstations will not be ready for March. This allows more time for planning.  . An email will be sent shortly listing all residents to Program Directors and asking them to identify residents who need to be trained. An email will be sent asking Chief's to identify professional staff who need to be trained.  . Programs can use academic half-days for training  . Please contact Maureen before January 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2014 if you would like a group session (maureen.macpherson@lhsc.on.ca).  The call room at Victoria hospital was blocked due to construction. The door to PCCU is now open. The hospital apologizes for the inconvenience and had no prior knowledge this would be taking place. Construction will be on-going for the next two weeks. |  |  |
| 3. MCCQE PART   | 2  |  | Dr. C. Watling   |
| Discussion      | Fall 2013 Administration . 97 Schulich residents took exam . Results: 85 Pass; 12 Fail . Results are private but Associate Dean's . Some residents who have failed, have fail . Medical Council is aware of timing issues Question: Can we better support our residents. High stakes exam preparation course and Develop a peer mentoring program . Family Medicine to provide some guidance Part 2 with the CFPC exam.  | iled more than once with other specialty exams dents for success on this exam? It working with the LEW   |  |
| 3.1 Action item |  | Person responsible   | Deadline   |
|                 |  |  |  |





## 4. INTERPRETING THE MCC EXAM RESULTS ON IMG CARMS APPLICATIONS

Dr. C. Watling

There are two National assessment programs:

- . Evaluating Exam (EE)
  - . MCQ delivered across the world
- . National Assessment Collaboration (NAC)
  - . OSCE + MCQ delivered in BC, AB, MB, ON, QC, NS

\*These results do not necessarily equate to strong results in the qualifying exam The letter residents receive following the exam for the MCCEE and NAC includes the date the exam was taken, the pass/fail decision, the total score for the resident, and the score required to pass.

What the letter does not tell you:

- . What attempt number it is
- . How the candidate did by discipline

The candidate can give permission to release supplemental feedback. According to the MCCEE, the most meaningful number is the pass/fail result.

Facts about the EE 2012 administrations:

- . 3620 candidates wrote the exam
- . 48% wrote in Canada
- . 97% in English
- . 19% born in Canada (Canadian studying abroad)
- . 14% attend schools prevalent among CSAs but are not born in Canada
- . 85% CSAs scheduled to graduate the year they write or the following year IMPORTANT CHANGE IN 2013  $\,$

The MCC approved a change to the eligibility criteria for the Medical Council of Canada Evaluating Examination. As of **June 1**, **2013**, international medical students are able to apply to take the MCCEE **up to 20 months before their graduation** date. This means international medical students no longer need to be in the final clinical year of their medical school program to apply. The MCCEE, NAC, and OSCE can now be taken without losing a year of study.

#### Facts about the NAC administrations:

- . Bar graph of candidates' competency scores compared to previous population quintiles will be provided as of 2016 when we have 3 years of equated data.
- . In 2013, 1,222 candidates over 4 administrations took the exam
- . BC, AB (Calgary and Edmonton), MB, ON, QC, NS

#### IMPORTANT CHANGES TO THE NAC:

. Change in registration starting November 2013

Registration for the NAC will be offered through physiciansapply.ca

. Change in policy on number of attempts starting January 2015

Candidates who obtain a pass status will not be allowed to take the exam again to improve their score.

| 4.1 Action item | Person responsible | Deadline |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
|                 |                    |          |

#### Discussion





| 5. CaRMS 2014 | Dr. C Watling  |
|---------------|--|
|               | National Interview Period: January 8-February 9 <sup>th</sup> , 2014  Preference to keep to set schedule  Changing times puts distributed medical education students at a disadvantage  Programs are responsible for scheduling interviews with applicants  Interviews for current-year Canadian medical school graduates from out-of-town universities are conducted during this three-week period.  No specific national time period for:  IMGs  Applicants at their own schools |
|               | Dates: Ranking period opens: January 30 <sup>th</sup> , 2014 (1200h) Program ROL deadline: February 12 <sup>th</sup> , 2014 (1600h) Match day: March 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2014 (1200h)  |
|               | Principles: Interviews should be:  1. Private 2. Of sufficient length (consider 30 minute minimum) 3. Free of intimidation 4. Free of personal questions about family, religion, age, or finances  |
| Discussion    | Scheduling . Abide by schedule of dates . Ideally, coordinate with other programs nationally . Contact shortlisted candidates at least 1 week before interview date . Send unsuccessful candidates a letter informing them they will be not be invited for an interview  |
|               | Canadian Human Rights Act All individuals are entitled to equal employment opportunities without regard to: race/colour, national/ethnic background, sexual orientation, religion, age, family status, sex, pardoned conviction, or disability. Bona Fide Occupational Requirements . Exception to CHR Act allowed when abilities required to carry out core functions of the job are related to a prohibited ground.  |
|               | Interview Dos:  1. Ask all candidates the same questions 2. Ask questions related to the position 3. Ask applicants if they can meet the requirements of the position 4. Ensure that questions assess criteria that can be evaluated objectively 5. Arrange meetings with residents  |
|               | Interview Do Nots: 1. Ask different questions of different candidates  |





| 2. Ask about children, child-bearing plans, or child care arranged |
|--|
|--|

- 3. Ask about family status and/or spousal employment opportunities
- 4. Request a photo
- 5. Ask about disabilities or limitations (it is okay to ask if they can meet the challenges of the job)
- 6. Ask applicants how they intend to tank your program

| 5.1 Action item | Person responsible | Deadline |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
|                 |                    |          |

#### PROGRAM DIRECTOR SURVIVAL STORIES

Dr. C. Watling

Popular and useful new session at ICRE 2013

. Purpose is to recognize the unique problems that arise and learning how to handle different situations.

# Discussion

. Have experienced Program Directors use stories that have happened a while ago to protect resident identity

Is there interest in something similar locally? What would be the appropriate venue? Can stories be adequately anonymized?

| 6.1 Action item | Person responsible | Deadline |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
|                 |                    |          |

#### 7. ACCREDITATION AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

Dr. C. Watling

From the A Standards Review 2012:

"Feedback of teacher evaluations to teachers and RPC's is absent or weak in many programs (A1.3.11)"

What is the standard?

B1.3.8.3

. The responsibilities of the program director, assisted by the residency program committee include: An ongoing review of the program to assess the quality of the educational experience, including...an assessment of the teachers in the program.

Interpreting the standard Discussion . There is evidence that to

- . There is evidence that teachers are evaluated regularly
- . The residents are comfortable with the process of evaluating their teachers and rotations
- . There are mechanism to provide confidential, timely feedback to individual teachers

#### **Teacher Assessment**

- . Online assessment forms completed by residents
- . Assessment for didactic or small group teaching
- . Pooled resident feedback (especially for smaller programs)
- . Retreat discussion
- . Standing item for RPC
- . Novel strategies: peer feedback (e.g. one faculty member observes the clinical teaching of





another faculty member)

#### Resident Comfort

- . Anonymity when possible
- . Small programs face bigger challenges
  - . pooled feedback
  - . feedback at 1-2 year intervals
  - . use of exit interviews
- . Clear, safe reporting lines for problems
- . Peer feedback may reduce pressure on residents as only source of data
- . Have a backup line for reporting feedback for those who need to provide feedback for a teacher in power (e.g. Program Director or Chair)

## Timely Feedback

- . Mechanism can vary (via Chair, RPC, annual reports from PD, etc.) but here MUST be a mechanism
- . Articulate a plan for more urgent situations

# Shifting the Focus

Feedback can be positive as well as constructive

- . Program teaching awards
- . Faculty asked to teach faculty-wide

Link constructive feedback to an action plan

- . Mentoring
- . Faculty development

| 7.1 Action item | Person responsible | Deadline |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
|                 |                    |          |

# 8. PROGRAM DIRECTOR SURVEY

Dr. C. Watling

A short survey has been sent to better serve the needs of Program Directors through PGE committee meetings. Seeking information on:

. Preferred meeting day/time/location

Discussion

- . Meeting format
- . Content suggestions

Responses are anonymous and constructive feedback is encouraged.

| 8.1 Action item                                      | Person responsible | Deadline      |
|--|--------------------|---------------|
| Please complete the survey using the following link: | Program Directors  | February 6th, |
| https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/D9YSWL5               |                    | 2014          |

#### 9. AJOURNMENT AND NEXT MEETING

| DATE and time | There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 8:20am. |
|---------------|--|
|               | Next meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 12th, 2014 in HSA101. |